

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,315	10/11/2003	Danny Roberts	3002.001	3309
75	90 01/05/2005		EXAM	INER
ANDREA L. MAYS, Esq.			BENNETT, GEORGE B	
Law Office of Andrea L. Mays				
Post Office Box 1337			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Placitas, NM	87043-1337		2859	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/684,315	ROBERTS, DANNY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	G. Bradley Bennett	2859				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati		th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retition.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become AB/	rply be timely filed  r (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 2 <u>4 NOV 2004</u> .					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice u	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 October 2003  Applicant may not request that any objection  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	is/are: a) accepted or b) ot to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doce 2. Certified copies of the priority doce 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I  * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap re priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.</li> </ol>		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/684,315 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 9, 10, 12-14 and 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Dugan.
- Dugan discloses the invention as claimed where: 1 is a main body component (claim 1); 3 is a main wheel (claim 1); 5 is a registering mechanism (claim 1); 10 is a lower body component (claim 1); 15 is a means for automatically moving the main body component 1 towards the lower body component 10 (claim 1); the means for moving is a spring (claim 2); wheels 14 are a line guide (claims 3 and 4); the method as claimed is performed during normal operation of the Dugan device as described in the specification (claims 9, 10 and 12-14); 13 is a wheel for contacting an elongate line (claim 16); 15 is a means for creating a spring-like force (claim 16); 5 is a means for registering length (claim 16); the means for creating a spring-like force is spring 15 (claim 17); 4 is a body component that is means for mounting the wheel (claims 18 and 19); wheels 14 are a line guide (claim 20); 10 is a lower body component towards lower body

Art Unit: 2859

component (claim 22); and body components 1 and 10 are means for grasping the depthometer (claim 23).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5-8, 11 and 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunagin in view of Mason.
- Dunagin discloses the device substantially as claimed. However, Dunagin does 6. not disclose a handle as claimed. Mason discloses an outer handle 43, 2 and an inner handle 44 that are effectively squeezed together for the purpose of moving a lower body part 45 relative to a main body part 10. Furthermore, the outer handle of Mason is slidably engaged with the main body part 10 and connects to the lower body component 45. Inner handle 44 is connected to the main body part as well. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to use handles as taught by Mason in conjunction with the device of Dunagin for the purpose of manually controlling the relative position of the lower and main body parts of Dunagin.
- 7. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunagin in view of Adamson et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,315 Page 4

Art Unit: 2859

8. Dunagin discloses the device substantially as claimed. However, Dunagin does not disclose that the spring is a compressed spring allowed to expand as claimed.

Adamson et al. discloses a compressed spring 36 that is allowed to expand for the purpose of moving a lower body component towards a main body component.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the biasing spring as taught by Adamson et al. in conjunction with the device of Dunagin as an alternative means to bring the lower and main body parts of Dunagin towards one another.

- 9. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunagin and Mason as applied to claim 29 above, and further in view of Adamson et al..
- 10. Dunagin and Mason disclose the device substantially as claimed. However, neither Dunagin nor Mason disclose a spring over a shaft as claimed. Adamson et al. discloses a compressed spring 36 over a shaft that is allowed to expand for the purpose of moving a lower body component towards a main body component. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the biasing spring as taught by Adamson et al. in conjunction with the combination of Dunagin and Mason as an alternative means to bring the lower and main body parts of Dunagin towards one another.

### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,315 Page 5

Art Unit: 2859

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are 12.

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

13. This action is **NON-FINAL**.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to G. Bradley Bennett whose telephone number is

571.272.2237. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on 571.272.2245. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bradle ( )Bennett

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2859

gbb

28 DEC 2004